

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/652,110 | 08/29/2003 | Constantin Bucur | O2MICRO 03.18 | 9841 |
| 32047 7: | 590 09/27/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC 55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET | | | TIBBITS, PIA FLORENCE | |
| MANCHESTER, NH 03101 | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | | 2838 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--|--|---|----------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/652,110 | BUCUR ET AL. | Sur |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Pia F. Tibbits | 2838 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence addre | ss |
| • • | EDLV IS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MA | ONTU(O) OD TUIDTV (20) (| 2476 |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s' Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB. | CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this common ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 | ?9 August 2003. | | |
| <u> </u> | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo | owance except for formal matte | ers, prosecution as to the me | erits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the applica | tion. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | drawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and | /or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | accepted or b) objected to b | y the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyand | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | rrection is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 | .121(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-1 | 152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum | | an Can Ram Ala | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | • | · | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bu | • | received in this Mational Sta | ge |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | , | received | |
| occ the attached detailed office action for a | ist of the defined dopies not i | oscivou. | |
| | • | | |
| Attachment(s) | 🗖 | (DTO 115) | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | • — | ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date | |
| 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/13/04 (2 pgs),4/. | , <u> </u> | formal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) |

Application/Control Number: 10/652,110 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in answer to the continuation-in-part filed 8/29/2003. Claims 1-38 are pending.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - a) Claims 1-13, drawn to a power supply (subcombination), classified in class 320,
 subclass 136.
 - b) Claims 14-26, drawn to a power supply and an electronic device (combination), classified in class 320, subclass 113.
 - II. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method for a plural supply circuit, classified in class 307, subclass 43.
 - III. Claims 31-32, drawn to a method for an AC/DC power conversion circuit, classified in class 363, subclass 43.
 - IV. Claims 33-36, drawn to a method for a plural supply circuit with substitute power source, classified in class 307, subclass 66.
 - V. Claims 37-38, drawn to a power supply with output level responsive, classified in class 323, subclass 234.
- 2. In this case, the inventions are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as powering an electric car. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter and their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Furthermore, if group I is elected, then a further restriction is required between:
 - a) Claims 1-13, drawn to a power supply (subcombination), classified in class 320, subclass 136.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,110

Art Unit: 2838

b) Claims 14-26, drawn to a power supply and an electronic device (combination), classified in class 320, subclass 113.

Page 3

- 5. Inventions in group a) and group b) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the subcombination has separate utility such as powering an electric car.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A reply to this requirement must include an election of a single group for prosecution on the merits, even if this requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Any reply that does not include an election of a single group will be held nonresponsive. Applicant is also requested to direct cancellation of all drawing figures and the corresponding descriptions, which are directed to the nonelected groups. Should applicant traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over the prior art will apply equally to all other groups (See *Ex parte Appeal* No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965)). No argument asserting patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept. In view of the above requirement, action on the merits is deferred pending compliance with the requirement in accordance with *Ex parte Heckman*, 135 USPQ 229 (P.O. Super. Exam. 1960).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

Application/Control Number: 10/652,110 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pia Tibbits whose telephone number is 571-272-2086. If unavailable, contact the Supervisory Patent Examiner Mike Sherry whose telephone number is 571-272-2084. The Technology Center Fax number is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PFT

September 22, 2005

Pia Tibbits

Primary Patent Examine